

- Option 1 reuses (building shell) +/- 57K square feet of existing space (including existing Gymnasium) with renovation of interior spaces.
- State reimbursement of site development limited to School Board owned property only.
- Impact of building footprint between Option 1 and Option 2 on construction costs to be considered.
- More economical to go up verses sprawl.

- Opportunity to build in front of 1930's façade creating an internal design element.
- Each scheme offers unique deployment challenges; Option Right 1 and Option Left most constructible with minimal disruption.
- Option Front offers potential pedestrian/vehicle safety issues.
- Revisit Option Up to locate Gymnasium and Auditorium on upper levels; exiting issues will

have significant impact on vertical circulation elements (stairs and elevators).

- Land acquisition costs of *going to the right* to incorporated into the total project cost.
- Impact of acquiring *Compass Care* a concern.
- Impact of Historic District requirements on designs *going to the right*.
- Rogers Street infrastructure impact on site development costs.

- Broader vision of master planning suggestions on City investment with Library and South Mill Pond area.
- *Going to the left* most viable option; build recreational space on-site or else where.
- Deployment of classrooms in 1930's building to reduce height of Option Up.
- Waivers being considered include site size and classroom size.

- State requirements for fields must satisfy educational specification.
- Pierce Property deed restriction requires preservation of recreational space; does a gymnasium maintain the spirit of the deed?
- Estimated construction duration of +/- 2 years.
- Legal challenge to *go to the left* will delay project.
- City wide ball field master plan needed ASAP.

- 1930's building to house non-Team areas.
- Flood plain restrictions prevent *going down*.
- Green roofs will be considered.
- Reorient little league field to free up available space for building additions and realignment of Parrott Avenue.
- Revisit alignment of Parrott Avenue for more efficient pavement solutions.

- Parking remains an issue with any of the schemes.
- Impact of funerals on church parking solution.
- Community use of auditorium reinforces after-hours parking.
- Strategic location of ball fields and impact on bussing costs.

- Comparison of no-build option on Parrott Avenue with new construction on an alternative site for public consideration.
- Impact of construction activity on quality of education.
- Section 106 / State Historic Preservation review may be required.

- Create a multiple building campus to accommodate potential historic preservation considerations; impact on education to be considered.